

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of EAS and AMF, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

RENEE FOX,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

EDWARD SMITH and STEPHEN KING,

Respondents.

UNPUBLISHED

January 25, 2002

No. 232877

Ingham Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 00-034942-NA

Before: Sawyer, P.J., and O'Connell and Zahra, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals by delayed leave granted from the trial court's order terminating her parental rights to her minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm.

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, the evidence did not show that termination of respondent's parental rights was clearly not in the best interests of the children. MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Respondent contends that the trial court failed to discuss relevant evidence when making its determination regarding the best interests of the children. A review of the record reveals that the trial court did discuss the evidence in question in the context of

the statutory criteria for termination. Therefore, we are unable to conclude that the trial court's lack of a second statement regarding this same evidence amounts to clear error.

Affirmed.

/s/ David H. Sawyer
/s/ Peter D. O'Connell
/s/ Brian K. Zahra